

Editorial

Van Hoof, Elke; Van den Cruysse, Nele; Bentall, Richard

Published in:
Frontiers in Public Health

DOI:
[10.3389/fpubh.2023.1174869](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2023.1174869)

Publication date:
2023

License:
CC BY

Document Version:
Final published version

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Van Hoof, E., Van den Cruysse, N., & Bentall, R. (2023). Editorial: Data monitoring and triage practices during COVID-19: shaping the future of public mental health. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 11, [1174869]. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2023.1174869>

Copyright

No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form, without the prior written permission of the author(s) or other rights holders to whom publication rights have been transferred, unless permitted by a license attached to the publication (a Creative Commons license or other), or unless exceptions to copyright law apply.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document infringes your copyright or other rights, please contact openaccess@vub.be, with details of the nature of the infringement. We will investigate the claim and if justified, we will take the appropriate steps.



OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY
Wulf Rössler,
Charité Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Germany

*CORRESPONDENCE
Elke Van Hoof
✉ elke.van.hoof@vub.be

SPECIALTY SECTION
This article was submitted to
Public Mental Health,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Public Health

RECEIVED 27 February 2023
ACCEPTED 01 March 2023
PUBLISHED 11 April 2023

CITATION
Van Hoof E, Van den Cruyce N and Bentall RP
(2023) Editorial: Data monitoring and triage
practices during COVID-19: shaping the future
of Public Mental Health.
Front. Public Health 11:1174869.
doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2023.1174869

COPYRIGHT
© 2023 Van Hoof, Van den Cruyce and Bentall.
This is an open-access article distributed under
the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution
License \(CC BY\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). The use, distribution or
reproduction in other forums is permitted,
provided the original author(s) and the
copyright owner(s) are credited and that the
original publication in this journal is cited, in
accordance with accepted academic practice.
No use, distribution or reproduction is
permitted which does not comply with these
terms.

Editorial: Data monitoring and triage practices during COVID-19: shaping the future of Public Mental Health

Elke Van Hoof^{1*}, Nele Van den Cruyce¹ and Richard P. Bentall²

¹Faculty of Psychological and Educational Sciences, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussels, Belgium,
²Department of Psychology, The University of Sheffield, Sheffield, United Kingdom

KEYWORDS

mental health, COVID-19, public health, fast response, innovation in public health

Editorial on the Research Topic

Data monitoring and triage practices during COVID-19: shaping the future of Public Mental Health

The COVID-19 pandemic could be considered the greatest psychological experiment ever conducted (1). Due to the lockdowns, extensive quarantines, and the chronicity of the imposed adjustments in everyone's life, COVID-19 has had a major impact on many aspects of our lives, including mental health. No one was prepared and no protocols existed to guide the management of the pandemic and the decisions of policymakers. This led to an explosion of data, approaches and processes, all with one goal: uncovering the necessary actionable insights as quickly as possible to keep the pandemic manageable and the consequences clear. The guest editors are therefore very grateful to the 35 contributors for sharing their insights. Although the crisis phase of the pandemic is over, the evaluation of the often innovative research techniques and review methods employed during this period can better prepare us for future sudden public health events.

Mental health is a broad concept ranging from distress to serious psychopathology with an adverse impact on the participatory capacity within society. Zhou et al. use a web of science core collection (WOSCC) to create order out of the chaos. Indeed, a multitude of scientific papers on the effects of the pandemic were published as it persisted, complicating the identification of actionable insights. A timely and effective intervention, however, depends on the ability to uncover actionable insights quickly. With the use of the WOSCC, it was possible to efficiently look at (1) where most publications take place, (2) which papers have had the most impact, and (3) which psychological problems are most common and how they were most studied. Anxiety and depression are especially common forms of psychological distress and so were studied extensively. Interestingly, nuances have been identified. For COVID-19 survivors, immune response measures have a direct link to depression and anxiety whereas panic about the uncertainty of the epidemic is a driver for mental distress in the general population. Young people who had to work outside their domicile presented with higher levels of anxiety and stress. In addition, inpatient mental healthcare was significantly reduced during the first period of the pandemic.

The imposed restrictions and quarantine methods were necessary to contain the infection but came at a high cost. As Gao et al. describe in their study of the impact of quarantine in the 3rd year of the pandemic in China, this cost also shows an evolution

as the pandemic progressed and as people adapted despite continuing elevated levels of stress. While the rest of the world slowly learned to live with COVID-19, China opted to maintain strict quarantine rules. As a consequence, there was an immediate and prolonged effect on stress, depression, anxiety, poor sleep, unhealthy lifestyles and the development of mental disorders. The major predictor of the continually elevated stress response was financial insecurity, which impacted on emotions, physical responses, and behavior. Financial insecurity therefore seems inextricably linked to wellbeing. In addition, the authors also observed an important impact of age on emotional responses, with younger people most affected.

That young people were especially vulnerable to the impact of the pandemic was also demonstrated by Wang et al.. Their study investigated general psychological characteristics of college students in the phase of return to normality of the COVID-19 pandemic, and found elevated distress even after the return to normality. The mental health of the college student sample did not fully recover, with the main psychological and physical symptoms experienced including compulsive behavior, interpersonal sensitivity, anxiety, hostility, poor appetite, and insomnia.

From the results of the longitudinal study of Zielasek et al., carried out in North Rhine-Westphalia, Germany, it is clear that a reduction of case numbers of psychiatric inpatients—mostly in patients with affective and addiction disorders—was apparent. Even during the follow-up phases, the observed trend toward more acute cases, as indicated by diagnostic shifts toward more acute mental illnesses such as the psychotic disorders, and increased use of coercive methods were confirmed. Moreover, admission rates did not recover during the summer of 2020 nor in 2021. The strength of the Zielasek et al. study lies in the large and representative number of observed cases and the long observation period covering several phases of the pandemic. While psychiatric inpatient case numbers decreased, case severity and disease severity increased.

These findings are supported by the insights provided by the retrospective study of Di Lorenzo et al., carried out in Northern Italy. They emphasize that the most vulnerable and fragile people require more attention and care within emergency and community services. Both aggressiveness and socio-environmental maladjustment were observed more during

the pandemic, suggesting a poorer adaptation response to the restrictions and uncertainty created by the pandemic. During the pandemic, people suffering from a severe psychiatric disorder represented the most vulnerable population.

Whereas the initial period of the pandemic generated a lot of research papers, the post-epidemic period did not. Countries and institutions need to further strengthen cooperation to identify actionable insights during the post-epidemic period. It is by combining both actionable insights from the outbreak and post-epidemic periods that timely and effective interventions can be identified for future (sudden) public health events.

Author contributions

All authors listed have made a substantial, direct, and intellectual contribution to the work and approved it for publication.

Acknowledgments

We gratefully acknowledge the patient and thoughtful effort 35 contributors who are responsible for doing the hard work necessary to make this achievement possible.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

1. Van Hoof E. *Lockdown Is the World's Biggest Psychological Experiment - and We Will Pay the Price.* (2020). Available online

at: <https://www.weforum.org/agenda/2020/04/this-is-the-psychological-side-of-the-covid-19-pandemic-that-were-ignoring/> (accessed April 9, 2020).