A unique recipe for glass beads at Iron Age Sardis
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ABSTRACT

In large parts of the Mediterranean recipes for the earliest man-made glass changed from melting mixtures of crushed quartz pebbles and halophytic plant ashes in the Late Bronze Age to the use of quartz sands and mineral soda during the Early Iron Age. Not much is known about this transition and the experimental materials which would inevitably be connected to such technological change. In this paper we present a unique snapshot of developments in glass technology in Anatolia during the Middle Iron Age, when glass is still a relatively rare commodity. The present work focusses on black glass beads decorated with yellow trails from eighth to seventh century BCE Sardis, glass beads that are very rare for this period, and on this site. A full elemental analysis of the beads was made, and Sr, Pb and B isotope ratios were determined. This study reveals the use of a combination of a previously unknown source of silica and of mineral soda, giving rise to elevated (granite-like) Sr isotope signatures, as well as high alumina and B concentrations. The yellow trails of glass on the beads consist of lead-tin yellow type II, lead stannate, showing the earliest occurrence of this type of opacifier/colourant so far, predating any other findings by at least four centuries. The production of these glass beads may be local to Sardis and experimental in nature. It is therefore suggested that Sardis may have played its role in the technological development of the glass craft during the Iron Age.

1. Introduction

1.1. Archaeological context

This study discusses the analysis of four black glass beads with decorative yellow trails and dots, dated to the eighth to seventh century BCE, found at Sardis. The ancient city of Sardis is located at the edge of the Hermus (modern Gediz) river plain, at the foot of the Tmolus (modern Bozdağ) mountains in western Turkey (SI – S1). During the Iron Age, Sardis was the capital city of the Lydians, known for their gold, for their invention of coinage, textiles, and other luxuries. The early history and archaeology of the city, before the seventh century BCE, is imperfectly known due to only scattered literary sources and a relative dearth of archaeological evidence. By the seventh and sixth centuries BCE, however, the Lydians had expanded their empire to control the gold-producing regions of northwest Anatolia, as well as Greek cities of the coast, and inland as far as Phrygia and the Halys (modern Kızılirmak) river, to become the major military and economic power in Asia Minor. In 547 BCE, Cyrus the Great of Persia conquered Sardis and incorporated it into the Achaemenid empire as one of the most important Achaemenid satrapal capitals. Sardis remained an important urban center throughout the Hellenistic, Roman, and late Roman periods. The seventh century CE saw a rapid decline in settlement of the lower city, although the acropolis remained an important stronghold for many centuries (Hanfmann and Mierse, 1983; Cahill, 2010, Cahill et al. (forthcoming)).

The beads discussed in this article are almost spherical, 1.0–1.2 cm in diameter, with a central hole for stringing. They are made from black glass with applied yellow glass trails and dots: a wavy trail around the center of the bead, framed by straight trails at the top and bottom (Fig. 1). These beads are known as eye-beads. About a dozen similar beads have been found in other Lydian levels at different sectors at Sardis, and few are known from later, post-Lydid strata. The dating and find context of the beads is discussed in detail in the SI.

The aim of this research is to identify the recipe used to make these glass artefacts, based on their chemical, crystallographic/structural and
isotopic composition. For this purpose, a combination of EPMA (Electron Probe Micro-Analysis), LA-ICP-MS (laser ablation - inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry), XRD (X-Ray Diffraction), Raman spectroscopy and isotopic analysis was applied on the glass samples. These data are used to place the beads within the larger story of glass manufacture during the first millennium BCE, an era of particular interest as it is a time of change in technologies and raw materials of which many particulars are still unknown.

### 1.2. Early glass

Glass was produced in a regular and controlled way from the mid-sixteenth century BCE onwards in major centres of the Late Bronze Age Mesopotamia and Mediterranean civilizations. Depending on the raw materials used, different glass compositional groups can be identified (e.g. Sayre and Smith, 1961). In the Late Bronze Age, ‘high magnesium-potassium’ or ‘plant ash’ glasses were widespread in Egypt, Mesopotamia and Greece (e.g. Sayre and Smith, 1961; Shortland and Eremin, 2006), and similar glass artefacts have been found on contemporary European sites (e.g. Hartmann et al., 1997; Varberg et al., 2015). This first glassmaking technology involved the fusion of two main ingredients: quartz pebbles and halophytic plant ashes.

The end of the Bronze Age, in the late second millennium BCE, is characterized by the collapse and disappearance of the great empires in the Aegean, Anatolia and the Levant (Dickinson, 2006). At this time glass became rare, perhaps suggesting a decline in glass production (Drew, 1993). The archaeological record registers an increasing number of glass finds again from the Iron Age onwards. Until the seventh century BCE, glass production was in a transition phase, with a continued use of traditional recipes and ingredients inherited from the Late Bronze Age, alongside the use of an increasing variety of glass formulae and raw materials (Rehren and Freestone, 2015; Conte et al., 2016, 2018). A significant innovation at the beginning of the first millennium BCE is the production of glasses with a new fluxing agent, i.e. natron, a very pure mineral alkali source from evaporite deposits. The first glasses produced with natron as flux exhibit a highly variable chemical composition, due to the use of less standardised recipes and technologies (Conte et al., 2016). Some of the earliest examples of this new technology are the tenth century BCE core-formed glass vessels found in the Egyptian burial of Nesikhons (Schlick-Nolte and Werthmann, 2003). Other glass types typical of this period are the black and cobalt blue natron glasses found at Pella in the Levant, Nimrud in Mesopotamia and various sites in Southwestern Europe (Reade et al., 2006, 2009; Gratuz and Picon, 2005; Gratuz, 2009; Arletti et al., 2011; Conte et al., 2016, 2018). These different ‘low magnesium-potassium’ or ‘natron’ glasses were the forerunners of the later large-scale Hellenistic and Roman natron glass.

Much of this early glass was opaque and strongly coloured in nature. Early glass technology is characterized by the presence of calcium and lead antimonate for the production of opaque white (Ca$_2$Sb$_2$O$_6$) and yellow (Pb$_2$Sb$_2$O$_7$) glass. There are a few occurrences of tin-based opacifiers, mainly in the form of cassiterite (SnO$_2$) in white glasses as early as the thirteenth century BCE in Tell Ashkelon in the Levant (Tofolo et al., 2013) and in the eighth and seventh centuries BCE in Poland (Purowski et al., 2012). However, apart from these rare examples, it is generally accepted that the use of tin-based opacifiers such as lead stannate yellow (lead-tin yellow type II PbSnO$_3$, PbSn$_3$Si$_2$O$_6$) and tin oxide white (SnO$_2$) gradually increases during the second to first centuries BCE in glass production in Europe (glass beads found in Britain, France and Czechoslovakia; Tite et al., 2008). Antimony-based opacifiers continued to be used in the Roman world, but are gradually replaced by tin-based opacifiers by the fourth century CE, spreading from the eastern Mediterranean into northern Europe, to be used throughout the Roman and Byzantine Empires.

In recent years high alumina glasses have been identified in Western Anatolia (Dussubieux et al., 2016; Schibille, 2011; Rehren et al., 2015; Swan et al., 2018), Bulgaria (Bugoi et al., 2016) and Italy (Neri et al., 2019). Most of these occurrences are connected to the Byzantine period, although in Pergamon high alumina glasses are found as early as the first century CE. Overall, this glass type is under-studied, not many examples are known, and compositional groups have not been refined. Two main groups were described in the glass of Pergamon: the first is dark blue HBAI glass (characterized by B around 1000 ppm, Al$_2$O$_3$ around 9 wt%, CaO around 5 wt% and Sr around 300 ppm), while the second is transparent HLIAl glass (characterized by B around 1500 ppm, Li around 300 ppm, Al$_2$O$_3$ around 4–7 wt%, CaO around 8–11 wt%) (Rehren et al., 2015). Swan et al. (2018) reports compositional groups which are similar but distinct. Of interest for our work is the dark blue glass of group 2 (characterized by B between 1000 and 2000 ppm, Al$_2$O$_3$ between 9.5 and 11 wt% and Co between 100 and 500 ppm). Likewise in the glass assemblage from Romania, a few high alumina glasses were found. Their B and Li content is unknown, but they are characterized by elevated Fe$_2$O$_3$ concentrations (around 3 wt %). The current consensus on high alumina glasses in the Eastern Mediterranean is that they were likely made in Western Anatolia, using local raw materials including borax deposits, from the first century CE onwards but with the main production situated during the Byzantine period. This glass was used alongside regular imported natron glass. When in the Levant natron glass production ceases by the eighth-ninth century CE, it is replaced by plant ash glass. This transition is not necessarily observed in the assemblages from Western Anatolia. There, high alumina glasses become the main glass type. Much is still unknown about these high alumina-high boron glasses, especially on their origin and how production and distribution were organized. The present study contributes to that discussion by showing that high alumina, boron and lithium glass was present in Sardis long before the first century CE.

### 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Materials

The list of samples studied can be found in Table 1. Samples Sa-01, Sa-02 and Sa-03 (illustrated in Fig. 1) were cut through, mounted in epoxy resin and polished using diamond paste. The yellow and black parts of sample Sa-04 were separated. Table 1 also specifies the typology of the beads and which analysis were performed on which sample.
### Table 1
Overview of samples, the type of performed analysis is given as well as numbers from the excavation site and date.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>colour</th>
<th>performed analysis</th>
<th>field name</th>
<th>date</th>
<th>Object type/typology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>yellow</td>
<td>EPMA</td>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>Cat G08.001 12310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>black</td>
<td>Raman</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sa-01a</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sa-01b</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sa-02a</td>
<td>yellow</td>
<td>EPMA</td>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>Cat G09.005 12423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sa-02b</td>
<td>black</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sa-03a</td>
<td>yellow</td>
<td>EPMA</td>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>Cat G09.002 12407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sa-03b</td>
<td>black</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sa-04a</td>
<td>yellow</td>
<td>LA-MC-ICP-MS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sa-04b</td>
<td>black</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2
Elemental composition obtained by EPMA (\(^\bullet\)) or LA-ICP-MS (\(^\bullet\)), oxides are in wt% and elements in ppm, LOD are expressed in ppm. Y is the yellow part, bl the black part, n.d.: not detected, bdl: below detection limit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number LOD</th>
<th>Corning B</th>
<th>Corning A</th>
<th>Sa-01y</th>
<th>Sa-01bl</th>
<th>Sa-02y</th>
<th>Sa-02bl</th>
<th>Sa-03y</th>
<th>Sa-03bl</th>
<th>Sa-03y</th>
<th>Sa-03bl</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mg(_{2}O)</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al(<em>{2}O</em>{3})</td>
<td>4.49</td>
<td>6.09</td>
<td>9.41</td>
<td>4.53</td>
<td>10.23</td>
<td>7.29</td>
<td>8.96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO(_{2})</td>
<td>61.7</td>
<td>45.72</td>
<td>54.00</td>
<td>45.95</td>
<td>53.70</td>
<td>54.12</td>
<td>52.95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P(<em>{2}O</em>{5})</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO(_{3})</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl(_{2})</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K(_{2}O)</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>3.54</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>8.68</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>2.81</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO(_{2})</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe(<em>{2}O</em>{3})</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>4.73</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>6.66</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

...
2.2. Methods

2.2.1. EPMA

A JEOL JXA-8530F Hyperprobe Field Emission Gun-Electron probe micro-analyser (FEG-EPMA; KU Leuven, Belgium) was used to obtain the major and minor element composition of the beads (indicated by ‘a’ in Table 2). Analysis were performed on carbon-coated samples, at 15 kV accelerating voltage, 100 nA beam current and 50 μm spot size to minimize migration of sodium and other volatile elements (Henderson, 1988). Five points were analysed to obtain an average value. Element peaks were measured for 20s–70s depending on the element. Background was measured twice during 5s. The measuring procedure was calibrated with matrix-matched Corning archaeological reference glass A and validated with Corning glass B (Vicenzi et al., 2002). Raw data were corrected with ZAF correction procedure to process for matrix effects (Vicenzi et al., 2002). Relative analytical accuracy and precision for the elements analysed were better than 9%, and detection limits for the different elements were below 0.02%. The mounted samples were coated with carbon for the analysis.

2.2.2. LA-ICP-MS

Trace element analyses were carried out using a New Wave UV213 laser ablation system coupled to an Agilent 7500a inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometer (LA-ICP-MS; Cranfield University, UK) (indicated by ‘b’ in Table 2). Samples were ablated under argon flow and analysed in spot mode with a laser diameter of 80 μm, repetition rates of 10 Hz and 15 J·cm⁻² fluence. Ablated material was transported to the plasma at 0.5 l·min⁻¹ and the plasma was operated at a power of 1430–1470 W. The quantification was done using the sum normalisation-calibration technique (van Elteren et al., 2009). All elements were normalised to 100% based on their corresponding oxide concentrations and the concentration of a particular major oxide, here SiO₂, as normalizing factor (Gratuze et al., 2001). External calibration of the ICP-MS data was performed utilising glass reference materials NIST SRM 610 and 612 (Pearce et al., 1997). Analytical uncertainties below 20% were obtained, limits of detection are reported in Table 2. Corning glass A was measured to validate the measurements (results are also reported in Table 2). The sample preparation consisted of removing the C-coating of the mounted sections used for EPMA.

2.2.3. XRD

The yellow part of sample Sa-04 was crushed in an agate mortar and placed on the XRD holder using silica gel. It was measured using a Phillips PW1830 diffractometer with a Bragg/Brentano θ-2θ setup and Cu Kα radiation at 45 kV and 30 mA. Angles from 5 to 75° were scanned with a step size of 0.02° and 1s per step. ConX software was used for file conversion, mineral identification was performed using DiffractionPlus (EVA).

2.2.4. Raman spectroscopy

Raman (micro)spectroscopy was carried out using a custom made Raman spectrometer with an Argon ion laser tuned to 514 nm for excitation. The incident and scattered laser light is coupled in and out of an inverted Olympus IX71 microscope, equipped with a 20× air objective (Olympus, Numerical Aperture (NA) = 0.75) or a 40× air UV objective (Thorlabs, NA = 0.5). A motorized XYZ stage (Märzhäuser Wetzlar) in combination with a UV sensitive CCD camera allowed precise positioning of the samples. After the microscope, the light is dispersed using a spectrometer consisting of three stages (TriVista, Princeton Instruments, purchased via S-and-I GmbH, Warstein, Germany) in subtractive dispersion mode. This allows recording of a broad spectrum while achieving good spectral resolution. The final readout of the optical spectrum is performed by a liquid nitrogen-cooled CCD camera. Data acquisition is handled by a personal computer running the software ‘Vista Control’ from S-and-I GmbH. For these measurements the power was set to 30 mW (514 nm) in the visible spectral range (5.5 mW in the deep UV, 244 nm). Integration times were 60 s long. To perform the analysis the mounted, polished sections were placed under the microscope, to select the analysis area.

2.2.5. ns-MC-LA-ICP-MS

Lead and strontium isotope analysis was performed by ns-LA-MC-ICP-MS, using an Analyte G2 193 nm ArF* excimer-based laser ablation system (Teleedyne CETAC Technologies, Omaha, NE, USA) at the University of Ghent (Belgium). Glasses were analysed in line scan mode, ablating straight lines of 1 mm at 13 μm s⁻¹ translational speed, using masked beams of 30–130 μm diameter, 40 Hz frequency, and 4.05 J·cm⁻² pulse energy.

Sr and Pb ion signals were measured using a Neptune MC-ICP-MS, operated with a constant flow of 3% HNO₃ at 100 μl·min⁻¹. This approach was also used to introduce a Ti internal standard solution (150 μg·l⁻¹) into the LA aerosol transport line while measuring Pb isotope ratios.

Sr isotopes were measured in medium resolution and mass bias correction was achieved using the exponential law and the samples' ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratios as internal standard. Lead isotope ratios were measured in low resolution and mass bias correction was achieved through the revised Russell’s law using Ti as internal standard and Corning glass D as external standard (Van Ham-Meert et al., 2018).

Boron isotopic analysis was carried out using LA-MC-ICP-MS (Goethe University Frankfurt, Germany) with the method adopted from Devulder et al. (2015). Analysis consists of 20s gas background and 25s sample ablation, with static spots of 213 μm, 10 Hz repetition rate, 2.5 J·cm⁻² energy density, appropriate bracketing standards were also measured (NIST 610, 86) applying identical ablation conditions (spot size, laser fluence etc.). The raw measurement data was corrected for biases induced by the background and mass discrimination. Differences in isotopic composition of boron are expressed as the relative difference in isotopic ratio between the unknown sample and the isotopic reference material NIST 951 boric acid (NIST, MD, USA, (¹⁰B/¹¹B)NIST SRM951 = 4.0436 ± 0.0027 (2σ); Catanzaro et al., 1970).

3. Results

3.1. Elemental composition

The elemental composition of samples Sa-01 to Sa-03 are shown by colour in Table 2, with y and b respectively denoting yellow and black. First, reduced base glass compositions (indicated by ‘•’) were considered (Table 3). These are determined as the total amount of the oxides Na₂O, MgO, Al₂O₃, SiO₂, P₂O₅, K₂O, CaO and Fe₂O₃ normalised to 100 wt% (similar approach to Brill, 1999; Panighello et al., 2012). It represents the base glass composition without any (de)colouring and/or opacifying agents. The concentration of iron oxide can be influenced by the addition of (de)colouring and opacifying agents to the glass batch (Brill, 1999). Therefore the iron concentration in the “base glasses” does not necessarily reflect the iron content in the silica and/or flux source.

The reduced compositions of the black and yellow glasses are different (Table 3). The reduced composition of the black glass yields approximately 12 wt% less SiO₂, the absolute SiO₂ concentration is also higher in Sa-01bl and Sa-02bl (Table 2). Assuming the base glass used

Table 3 Reduced oxide composition calculated following Panighello et al. (2012).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Na₂O*</th>
<th>MgO*</th>
<th>Al₂O₃*</th>
<th>SiO₂*</th>
<th>P₂O₅*</th>
<th>K₂O</th>
<th>CaO*</th>
<th>Fe₂O₃*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sa-01y</td>
<td>18.10</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>8.53</td>
<td>64.02</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>3.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sa-01b</td>
<td>22.26</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>9.73</td>
<td>55.84</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>4.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sa-02y</td>
<td>16.38</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>6.81</td>
<td>68.99</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>3.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sa-02b</td>
<td>21.96</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>10.70</td>
<td>56.14</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>2.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sa-03y</td>
<td>17.17</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>8.89</td>
<td>56.04</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>2.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sa-03b</td>
<td>20.54</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>9.21</td>
<td>54.41</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>4.68</td>
<td>6.84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
for both glass colours has the same composition, there needs to be a second independent silica source for the yellow glass, the colouring agent.

The second important difference between the yellow and black glass is the higher iron concentration in the black glass, due to the addition of an iron compound to obtain the dark colour.

Potash (K\textsubscript{2}O) and magnesia (MgO) concentrations above 1.5 wt% are typical of glasses fluxed with plant ash (Sayre and Smith, 1961). Here, K\textsubscript{2}O\textsuperscript{+} is > 2.0 wt% for all samples, whereas MgO\textsuperscript{+} is below 1.5 wt % (Table 3 and Fig. 2). Based on these numbers, it is not possible to classify the samples unambiguously as plant ash or natron glass. Nevertheless, the lime concentrations below 3 wt% indicate that plant ash is an unlikely flux for these glasses. The low lime levels in the beads are positively associated with strontium concentration, with mean values of 2.5 wt% and 245 ppm respectively. The \( ^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr} \) ratio of the beads varies around 0.71733 (Table 4), which is much more radiogenic than most ancient glass analysed, pointing towards a silica raw material originating from a granitic source rock (Brems and Degryse, 2014), provided that the Sr source in the glass beads is the silica raw material and not a plant ash flux.

Trace element analysis reveals elevated concentrations for the elements titanium, vanadium, chromium and arsenic. The strong correlation between titanium and niobium points to the presence of rutile in the raw material used, while the significant amounts of potash positively correlated with rubidium and barium can be explained by the occurrence of alkali feldspar (Brems and Degryse, 2014). The silica source must also have contained minerals such as zircon (ZrSiO\textsubscript{4}) indicated by the presence of zirconium and hafnium in the glass, monazite (Ce,La,Y,Th)PO\textsubscript{4} providing lanthanum and thorium, and garnet (Mg,Fe,Mn,Ca)\textsubscript{3}(Al,Fe,Cr)\textsubscript{2}Si\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{12} causing the presence of yttrium in the glasses (Brems and Degryse, 2014). This indicates the use of a sand source with a significant non-quartz mineral content. The presence of these minerals is also confirmed by the enriched Rare Earth Element (REE) pattern of the glass beads. The values normalised to the Earth’s Continental Crust composition (according to Wedepohl, 1995) increase steeply from lanthanum to lutetium with the occurrence of a negative europium anomaly (Fig. 3). Such anomaly is typical for granitic rocks of the Earth’s upper Continental Crust (Wedepohl and Simon, 2010). The yellow and black glasses follow the same pattern, although the black glasses have a higher absolute concentration in REEs. The similarity in pattern indicates the sand source of the base glass used for the production of the yellow and black colours is likely homologues, while the difference in absolute concentration is due to the addition of Pb and Sn in high amounts to the yellow glass.

Samples Sa-01 to Sa-03 have an exceptionally high alumina, boron and lithium content, in excess of 4.5 wt%, 2500 ppm, and 100 ppm respectively (Table 2), with \( ^{11}\text{B} \) values of around +0.3‰ (Table 4). Elevated amounts of boron were most likely introduced with the flux, as suggested by the strong correlation of boron with several alkali and alkaline earth metals such as lithium, potassium, rubidium and caesium. Alumina likely entered the glass through the silica raw material used. The high concentrations of alumina, the high \( ^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr} \) ratio and the REE pattern all point towards a granitic source for this silica.

### 3.2. Pigment identification

The dark colour of the black glass is caused by high iron concentrations, while the opaque yellow glass shows high lead and tin contents, with average values of 21.1 and 2.4 wt% Pb and Sn, respectively, also associated with elevated contents of copper, arsenic, silver, antimony and bismuth (Table 2). The yellow part of sample Sa-04 was subjected to XRD analysis. On Fig. 4, apart from the amorphous background indicative of the glass phase present, 5 peaks can be distinguished respectively at 2\( \theta \) = 14.6°, 29.1°, 33.6°, 48.3°, and 57.4°. These peaks are in close agreement with those recorded by Bagdzevičienė et al. (2011) for lead-tin yellow type II pigment (2\( \theta \) = 29°, 33.5°, 48° and 57°).

Analysis of yellow parts of beads Sa-01 (2 measurements) and Sa-02

---

**Table 4**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>( ^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr} )</th>
<th>2( \sigma )</th>
<th>( ^{206}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb} )</th>
<th>2( \sigma )</th>
<th>( ^{207}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb} )</th>
<th>2( \sigma )</th>
<th>( ^{208}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb} )</th>
<th>2( \sigma )</th>
<th>( ^{81}\text{B} )</th>
<th>2( \sigma )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sa-01y</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>38.640</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>15.648</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>18.544</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>2.0830</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sa-01g</td>
<td>0.71809</td>
<td>0.00002</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sa-02y</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>38.561</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>15.664</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>18.382</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>2.9979</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sa-02b</td>
<td>0.71634</td>
<td>0.00088</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sa-03y</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>38.630</td>
<td>0.226</td>
<td>15.525</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>18.737</td>
<td>0.127</td>
<td>2.062</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sa-03b</td>
<td>0.71756</td>
<td>0.00072</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>0.8286</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Fig. 2. Potash versus magnesia for the samples from Sardis (reduced concentrations for the yellow and black parts of the samples).

Fig. 3. Line plot of the average REE concentrations of the analysed samples normalised to abundances in the Earth’s Continental Crust (Wedepohl, 1995) together with REE patterns for Turkish high-boron high-alumina glasses (Dussubieux et al., 2010) and sands near Sardis (Kealhofer et al., 2013).
Samples do not match any of the ore deposits from the western Anatolia (Schibille, 2011). A small amount of yellow type I is also resolved with characteristic peaks at 196 and 455 cm\(^{-1}\) well resolved while others overlap with those of lead-tin yellow type II. The yellow opacifying agent is therefore consistent with being mainly type II, with a minor presence of type I.

Lead isotopic analysis on the yellow parts of the glass beads yields results which are not in agreement with each other (Table 4). The three results which are not in agreement with each other (Table 4). The three.

Fig. 4. Diffraction pattern of yellow sample Sa-04, the diffraction peaks are indicated together with their d-value. This pattern corresponds to PbSn\(_{1-x}\)Si\(_x\)O\(_3\).

Fig. 5. Raman shift for samples Sa-01 (2 different spots) and Sa-02, the chemical compounds corresponding to different peaks are also given.

(1 measurement) was achieved non-destructively using Raman spectroscopy. The Raman spectra are shown in Fig. 5. The main peaks and bands at 64, 137, 193, 327 and 448 cm\(^{-1}\), with a shoulders around 79 and 95 cm\(^{-1}\) correspond well to those characteristic for lead-tin yellow type II (Bagdzevičienė et al., 2011). A small amount of yellow type I is also resolved with characteristic peaks at 196 and 455 cm\(^{-1}\) well resolved while others overlap with those of lead-tin yellow type II. The yellow opacifying agent is therefore consistent with being mainly type II, with a minor presence of type I.

Lead isotopic analysis on the yellow parts of the glass beads yields results which are not in agreement with each other (Table 4). The three measurement points were compared to the OxaLid data-base (Stos-Gale and Gale, 2009). Samples do not fit any of the ore deposits from the data-base. The high variability in lead isotope signature reflects the use of more than one lead ore source for the production of the opacifying agent.

3.3. Microstructure

Backscattered images (BSE) (Fig. 6) of the yellow glass reveal an inhomogeneous distribution of euhedral and anhedral crystals of lead stannate in the glass matrix. This distribution is consistent with the addition of the already formed pigment to the glassy matrix (Rooksby, 1964).

4. Discussion

From the different analytical approaches followed, it can be concluded that the glass beads studied are extraordinary in their composition and recipe. Only a limited number of samples were studied, reflecting the low number of glass fragments available, but glass from the eight to seventh century BCE with such high alumina content and this particular opacifier/colourant have not (yet) been found outside Sardis. The scarcity of this glass could be explained by it being a short-lived and/or fast-evolving, most likely experimental production phase.

4.1. Sand source

Glass with alumina concentrations > 4 wt% and boron concentrations > 500 ppm is rare in ancient times (Rehren et al., 2015; Schibille, 2011). Dussubieux et al. (2010) reported the composition of artefacts from Sardis dating to the twelfth to fourteenth centuries CE, classified as m-Na-Al 5, closely resembling the data for samples Sa-01, Sa-02, and Sa-03. Furthermore, the REE patterns of both glass assemblages almost perfectly match (Fig. 3). This could suggest the use of similar raw materials in the production of these glasses, despite the considerable chronological gap. To date, no primary glassmaking centres for this kind of glass have been discovered. For the “High Alumina Glasses” from Sardis analysed by Brill (2012), “black sands” rich in alumina and containing monazite have been suggested as a raw material. This glass has a radiogenic strontium isotope signature (\(^{87}Sr/^{86}Sr = 0.715–0.717\)), but contains more lime than the Iron Age glass from Sardis.

The silica source used for producing the beads found at Sardis has a significant non-quartz mineral content, with a REE pattern differing from any known glass group (Wedepohl et al., 2011). Kealhofer et al. (2013) report the analysis of fine white sand from a quarry 9 km west of Sardis. Although no alumina concentrations are available and the match between the composition of this sand and the studied glass is not perfect, similarities in REE pattern (Fig. 3) and trace element contents could be indications of the use of a similar raw material for the production of the Sardis glass beads. Such sediment would also explain the strontium isotopic composition of the glass, as the bedrock contains schist and granite-derived gneiss (Kealhofer et al., 2013, and references therein), causing a more radiogenic strontium isotopic signature of the sand weathered from it (Vengosh et al., 2002). It needs to be stated that the use of this silica source near Sardis would imply a local production for the glass studied here as well as the glass published by Dussubieux et al. (2010) (Fig. 3), a suggestion not corroborated by archaeological excavation.

4.2. Flux source

As the boron content in the glass beads is correlated with several alkali and alkaline earth metals, it is likely that boron entered the glass with the flux material (Schibille, 2011). There are two possible types of flux which would account for the high boron concentrations, whilst also providing the alkalis.

The first possibility is the use of polyphase evaporitic lake deposits. There are evaporate deposits related to the major borate deposits in western Anatolia (Schibille, 2011). The principal boron minerals found there are colemanite (CaB\(_2\)O\(_4\)(OH))\(_2\)H\(_2\)O), ulexite (NaCa-B\(_3\)O\(_6\)(OH)\(_2\)5H\(_2\)O) and borax (Na\(_3\)B\(_4\)O\(_6\)(OH)\(_4\)•8H\(_2\)O), formed in lacustrine settings and hosted in Miocene calc-alkaline volcanoclastic deposits (Palmer and Helvaci, 1997). Evaporation in these non-marine lakes produces alkaline brines characterized by the presence of (bi) carbonates, sulphates, chlorides and borates (Helvaci et al., 2004; Garcia-Veigas and Helvaci, 2013). Consistent with the analysed glass samples, considerable – although variable – amounts of lithium and arsenic are detected in these borate deposits, but the detected sodium levels are low (Helvaci et al., 2004; Lin et al., 2011; Helvaci, 2015).
Borate deposits in western Anatolia show relatively low δ^{11}B values with a wide range from −1.6 to −25.3‰ (Palmer and Helvaci, 1995, 1997), primarily controlled by their mineralogy. Since important mineralogical differences exist between borate districts in western Anatolia (García-Veigas and Helvaci, 2013), it is possible that the slightly positive boron signatures of the glass beads are derived from this kind of deposits. In addition, trona deposits north of Beyazari, located 100 km west of Ankara, at present exploited for the production of soda ash and its derivatives, are other alkali sources potentially used during the Iron Age and at later times (Dardeniz, 2015).

Alternatively, flux can be produced from soda-rich salts by evaporating water from hot springs to dryness. The resulting sodium (bi) carbonate crystals could have been added to the glass batch during the manufacturing process of the glass studied here (Tite et al., 2016). Vengosh et al. (2002) investigated the chemical and isotopic composition of several thermal waters from the Menderes Massif and distinguished four major types of source water. The Na-HCO_{3} group, of interest in view of the flux used in the high-boron glasses, is characterized by elevated boron levels with a boron isotopic composition between −2.3 and +1.8‰. The matching ratios in Na/K, Na/Mg, K/Mg and Na/B between the high boron glasses and the hot spring waters of the Na-HCO_{3} type in the vicinity of Sardis (Table 5; Vengosh et al., 2002) could indicate the use of local hot springs. Furthermore, this kind of flux would provide a convenient explanation for the elevated potash versus magnaesium concentrations detected in the glass samples, seen from the overlap in K/Mg ratios (Table 5). This second option thus not only complies more satisfactorily with the boron isotopic signature of the samples considered here, but would also explain the potash concentration and the potash to magnaesium ratio found in the glasses. The Na-HCO_{3} springs have also been suggested as the source of flux for medieval high Al-B glasses (up to 3000 ppm B) from Hisn al-Tinat (Swan et al., 2018). A clear direct link cannot be made for the existence of mineral soda glass making, characterized by elevated boron concentrations, different from the commonly known mineral soda glass.

It seems this glass tradition with elevated B, Al and/or Li, of which the glass from Sardis is an early example, continues or is reused, from the first century CE onwards in Pergamon and more generally in Western Anatolia during the Byzantine period (Rehren et al., 2015; Swan et al., 2018). These later glasses are often dark blue but coloured with cobalt, whereas the black glass from Sardis is coloured by iron (similar to the Romanian glass) (Bugoi et al., 2016). The glass from Sardis is richer in boron and alumina than HLiBAl glass, and poorer in CaO and lithium, whereas it contains levels of alumina similar to the HBAI glass, but with more elevated boron and lithium concentrations.

### 4.3. Lead stannate

The yellow glass trails on the black beads are formed by lead-tin yellow type II, as shown by XRD and Raman spectroscopy. The use of lead stannate rather than lead antimonate for producing this yellow opaque colour is exceptional, and could point to a local innovation. Since the elevated Sn and Pb concentrations are associated with bis-muth, silver, antimony, arsenic and copper, in concentrations higher than expected for individual ores a metallurgical source for this material is suggested.

Lead was probably common in Lydian Sardis and could have come from multiple sources reflecting the varied interests and regions controlled by the Lydians. This observation is in line with the limited lead isotopic data available on other materials from Sardis, reflecting the use of many different ore sources (Lydia, Brill and Shields, 1972; Brill and Wampler, 1967; Lavrion, Eiseeman, 1980).

The preparation of lead-stannate apart from the glass and in the presence of silica is in line with finds from early medieval Europe (Heck et al., 2003). Heck et al. (2003) were unsure whether the elevated silica concentrations would be present in the bulk of the pigment (they only studied a residue layer inside a crucible), but the finds in this study prove they are also found in the bulk of the pigment.
5. Conclusion

The eighth to seventh centuries BCE constitute a vital interval in the complex history of glass manufacture. It is a period of transition from the use of plant ash to mineral soda as flux in glass technology. This study presents new findings on black and yellow glass beads from Sardis. The base glass composition shows that the silica source used is likely granite derived, with high alumina concentrations, while the alkali source is consistent with the use of a mineral soda rich in boron. Such silica source is available around Sardis in the form of white sands described by Kealhofer et al. (2013) and black sands described by Brill (2012). Furthermore, the region around Sardis boasts many hot springs where natron could be won from evaporating water. This source of natron could explain the high potassium and low magnesium and calcium concentrations in the glass, which are also observed in later glass from the area. All of this suggests a local, experimental, primary glass production in Sardis during the Lydian period. The opacifying agent used is lead-tin yellow type II, predating the earliest known occurrence of this type of colourant by at least four centuries.

The glass samples scrutinized here are extraordinary experimental artefacts, of which only a limited quantity survived. As such they represent a unique snapshot in the development of glass technology in Anatolia during the Middle Iron Age. They seem, however, to be part of a larger corpus of high aluminium and boron mineral soda glasses produced in the Bronze Age as evidenced by other recent finds. It is hoped these new finds will contribute to the current discussion on high alumina and boron glass in Anatolia and will shed further light on this particular glass making tradition.
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